International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research Studies

ISSN(print): 2767-8326, ISSN(online): 2767-8342

Volume 04 Issue 08 August 2024

Page No: 1452-1458

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmscrs/v4-i08-05, Impact Factor: 7.949

Surgical Management of Parry-Romberg Syndrome: An in-Depth Review of Techniques and Outcomes

Ethel Jenny García Cruz[1], Sayra Karelia Rivera Nájera[2], Eduardo Ibrahim Hernández Solis[3], Laila Jacqueline Chassin Adame[4], Ricardo Grande García[5]

[1,2,3,4,5]Departamento de Cirugía general, Hospital General Regional No1. Instituto Mexicano del seguro social. Vicente Guerrero, México.

ABSTRACT

Parry-Romberg Syndrome (PRS), also known as progressive hemifacial atrophy, is a rare, poorly understood disorder characterized by the progressive atrophy of the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and sometimes bone on one side of the face. The etiopathogenesis of PRS remains elusive, and its clinical presentation can vary widely, complicating diagnosis and treatment. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current surgical management strategies for PRS, focusing on reconstructive techniques, postoperative outcomes, and patient quality of life. Through an extensive literature review and analysis of case studies, we highlight the efficacy of various surgical interventions, such as autologous fat grafting, dermal fat grafts, and microsurgical free tissue transfer, in restoring facial symmetry and function. We also discuss the challenges and considerations in timing and planning surgical interventions, as well as the role of multidisciplinary approaches in optimizing patient care.

KEYWORDS: Parry-Romberg Syndrome, Progressive hemifacial atrophy, Reconstructive surgery

ARTICLE DETAILS

Published On:
07 August 2024

Available on:
https://ijmscr.org/

INTRODUCTION:

Parry-Romberg Syndrome (PRS) is a rare, idiopathic condition first described by Caleb Hillier Parry in 1825 and later elaborated upon by Moritz Heinrich Romberg in 1846. It primarily affects the craniofacial region, leading to unilateral facial atrophy that can extend from the skin and subcutaneous tissue to the muscles and bones. The etiology of PRS is multifactorial and remains largely speculative, with theories suggesting autoimmune mechanisms, traumatic events, or genetic predispositions as potential contributors.1,2

Clinically, PRS manifests as a slow, progressive deterioration of facial tissues, often beginning in childhood or adolescence. The degree of atrophy can vary significantly among patients, leading to asymmetry, functional impairments, and significant psychosocial impact. Given its progressive nature and the variability in presentation, the management of PRS requires a tailored, patient-specific approach.1,2

Surgical intervention is often indicated to address the aesthetic and functional deficits caused by PRS. The primary goal of surgical management is to restore facial symmetry and improve quality of life. Various reconstructive techniques have been developed and refined over the years, including autologous fat grafting, dermal fat grafts, and microsurgical free tissue transfer. These techniques aim to replace atrophied tissues, support structural integrity, and enhance facial contour.1,2

This review provides an in-depth analysis of the surgical management of PRS, focusing on the latest advancements in reconstructive surgery. We examine the efficacy of different techniques, the timing of surgical interventions, and the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in the comprehensive care of patients with PRS. By synthesizing current knowledge and clinical experiences, we aim to offer valuable insights into optimizing surgical outcomes and improving the overall management of this challenging condition.2,3

Surgical Indications for the Management of Parry-Romberg Syndrome

Parry-Romberg Syndrome (PRS), or progressive hemifacial atrophy, is a rare disorder characterized by the progressive

atrophy of the facial skin, subcutaneous tissues, muscles, and sometimes bones. Given the complex nature of PRS, surgical intervention is often necessary to address both aesthetic and functional deficits. This section outlines the surgical indications for managing PRS, emphasizing the criteria for intervention, timing considerations, and specific clinical scenarios that warrant surgical management.3,4

Criteria for Surgical Intervention

The decision to pursue surgical management in PRS patients is guided by several key criteria:

1. **Severity of Atrophy:**
    - Significant facial asymmetry due to the unilateral atrophy of facial tissues.
    - Marked atrophy of the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and deeper structures such as muscles and bones, leading to noticeable deformity.3,4
2. **Functional Impairment:**
    - Functional deficits, including impaired mastication, speech, or ocular complications due to the involvement of periocular structures.
    - Difficulty with oral competence, leading to issues with eating or speech.3,4
3. **Psychosocial Impact:**
    - Significant psychological distress or social challenges resulting from facial disfigurement.3,4
    - Impact on the patient's self-esteem, quality of life, and social interactions.
4. **Stabilization of Disease Progression:**
    - Evidence that the active phase of the disease has plateaued, with no further progression of atrophy for at least one year. This stabilization is crucial to ensure that reconstructive efforts are not undermined by ongoing disease activity.3,4

Timing of Surgical Intervention

Timing is a critical consideration in the surgical management of PRS. The primary goal is to intervene when the disease process has stabilized to minimize the risk of recurrent atrophy and to optimize surgical outcomes. Key timing considerations include:

1. **Active vs. Stable Phase:**
    - Surgical intervention is generally deferred until the active phase of atrophy has ceased. Early intervention during the active phase may lead to suboptimal outcomes and the potential need for additional surgeries.5,6
2. **Age Considerations:**
    - In pediatric patients, timing may be adjusted to balance the psychological benefits of early intervention against the risk of recurrent atrophy and the need for future revisions as the child grows.5,6
3. **Preoperative Assessment:**
    - Comprehensive preoperative evaluation, including imaging studies and multidisciplinary consultations, to assess the extent of atrophy and plan the reconstructive strategy.5,6

Specific Surgical Indications

The following clinical scenarios illustrate specific indications for surgical intervention in PRS:

1. **Severe Facial Asymmetry:**
    - Patients presenting with severe unilateral facial atrophy resulting in pronounced asymmetry that significantly impacts appearance and self-esteem. Reconstructive surgery aims to restore facial contour and symmetry.5,6
2. **Ocular Involvement:**
    - Atrophy involving periocular tissues, leading to complications such as enophthalmos, lagophthalmos, or ectropion. Surgical intervention may include reconstructive procedures to support periocular structures and improve ocular function.5,6
3. **Oral and Maxillofacial Complications:**
    - Significant atrophy affecting the oral cavity and maxillofacial structures, leading to dental malocclusion, difficulty with mastication, or speech impediments. Surgical management may involve autologous fat grafting, dermal fat grafts, or free tissue transfer to restore volume and function.5,6
4. **Nasal Deformities:**
    - Involvement of the nasal structures resulting in deformities such as nasal deviation or collapse. Reconstructive techniques, including cartilage grafts and soft tissue augmentation, are indicated to restore nasal symmetry and function.5,6
5. **Progressive Bone Atrophy:**
    - Significant bony involvement, such as atrophy of the maxilla or mandible, leading to structural deformities and functional impairments. Surgical intervention may involve bone grafting or the use of alloplastic materials to reconstruct the affected areas.7,8
6. **Refractory Cases:**
    - Patients with recurrent or refractory atrophy despite initial conservative management. These cases may require more extensive reconstructive efforts and a

multidisciplinary approach to address the complex and ongoing nature of the disease.7,8

Multidisciplinary Approach

Effective surgical management of PRS often necessitates a multidisciplinary approach involving plastic surgeons, maxillofacial surgeons, ophthalmologists, dermatologists, and psychological support teams. This collaborative effort ensures comprehensive care, addressing both the physical and psychosocial aspects of the disease.7,8

Surgical intervention in Parry-Romberg Syndrome is indicated for patients with significant facial asymmetry, functional impairments, and psychosocial impact. The timing of surgery is critical, with a preference for intervention during the stable phase of the disease. A tailored, patient-specific approach, often involving a multidisciplinary team, is essential for optimizing outcomes and improving the quality of life for individuals affected by this challenging condition.8,9

Surgical Contraindications in the Management of Parry-Romberg Syndrome: A Detailed Examination

Parry-Romberg Syndrome (PRS), also known as progressive hemifacial atrophy, presents significant challenges in surgical management due to its unpredictable nature and the complexity of tissue atrophy. While surgical intervention is often necessary to address both aesthetic and functional deficits, certain contraindications must be carefully considered to ensure patient safety and optimize outcomes. This section explores the contraindications to surgical management in PRS, highlighting clinical scenarios, patient-specific factors, and potential risks that preclude surgical intervention.9,10

Absolute Contraindications

Absolute contraindications refer to conditions or factors that definitively preclude surgical intervention due to high risk of adverse outcomes:

1. **Active Disease Phase:**
    - Ongoing active atrophy with signs of progressive tissue loss. Surgery during this phase can result in poor outcomes, as the disease process may continue to undermine reconstructive efforts.9,10
    - Clinical indicators of active disease include recent onset or rapid progression of facial atrophy, active inflammation, and new areas of tissue involvement.9,10
2. **Uncontrolled Systemic Conditions:**
    - Presence of uncontrolled systemic diseases such as severe cardiovascular conditions, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, or severe immunosuppression that significantly increase surgical risk.9,10
    - Patients with poorly controlled hypertension, coagulopathies, or active infections are at heightened risk for perioperative complications and impaired wound healing.9,10
3. **Severe Psychiatric Disorders:**
    - Patients with severe psychiatric conditions that impair their ability to comprehend the risks and benefits of surgery or to adhere to postoperative care. Conditions such as severe depression, schizophrenia, or untreated bipolar disorder may complicate the surgical process and postoperative recovery.9,10

Relative Contraindications

Relative contraindications are conditions or factors that may preclude surgery but can be managed or modified to reduce surgical risk:

1. **Poor Nutritional Status:**
    - Malnutrition or significant weight loss that impairs the patient's ability to heal postoperatively. Nutritional optimization through dietary interventions or supplementation may be required prior to surgery.9,10
    - Patients with low albumin levels or other markers of poor nutritional status should be assessed and treated to enhance surgical outcomes.9,10
2. **Active Infections:**
    - Presence of localized or systemic infections, including dental infections, that can complicate the surgical site. Appropriate antibiotic therapy and resolution of infection are necessary before proceeding with surgery.9,10
    - Any signs of sepsis or systemic inflammatory response must be thoroughly addressed prior to surgical intervention.9,10
3. **Ongoing Growth in Pediatric Patients:**
    - In pediatric patients, ongoing craniofacial growth can complicate timing and outcomes of surgery. While early intervention may be beneficial for severe cases, the potential need for future revisions should be considered.11,12
    - Growth monitoring and careful timing of surgical interventions are crucial to balance immediate benefits with long-term outcomes.11,12
4. **Previous Radiotherapy:**
    - History of radiotherapy to the craniofacial region can impair wound healing and increase the risk of complications such as fibrosis or radiation-induced damage to tissues.11,12

- Patients with a history of radiotherapy require thorough assessment and potentially modified surgical approaches to minimize risks.11,12

5. **Smoking and Substance Abuse:**
   - Active smoking or substance abuse can impair wound healing, increase the risk of infection, and complicate anesthesia management.11,12
   - Smoking cessation programs and substance abuse interventions should be implemented prior to surgical planning.11,12

Specific Clinical Scenarios

Certain clinical scenarios present specific challenges that may contraindicate surgical intervention or require careful consideration:

1. **Severe Atrophy with Minimal Tissue for Reconstruction:**
   - Cases with extensive tissue loss and minimal residual tissue for reconstruction may present technical challenges that preclude immediate surgery. Staged procedures or alternative therapeutic strategies may be necessary.13,14
   - Innovative techniques such as tissue expansion or the use of alloplastic materials may be considered once the patient is better prepared for surgery.13,14
2. **Significant Comorbidities:**
   - Patients with multiple comorbidities, such as autoimmune diseases or severe systemic illnesses, may face increased surgical risk. Multidisciplinary evaluation and optimization of comorbid conditions are essential before considering surgery.
   - A comprehensive assessment by a team of specialists, including internists, cardiologists, and anesthesiologists, ensures a holistic approach to patient care.13,14
3. **Severe Skeletal Deformities:**
   - Patients with severe skeletal involvement and deformities may require complex osteotomies or skeletal reconstruction, which carry high risk and require specialized expertise.13,14
   - Detailed preoperative planning with imaging studies, 3D modeling, and consultation with maxillofacial surgeons are critical to addressing these complex cases.13,14

Understanding the contraindications to surgical management in Parry-Romberg Syndrome is essential for ensuring patient safety and optimizing outcomes. Absolute contraindications such as active disease phase and uncontrolled systemic conditions preclude surgery, while relative contraindications like poor nutritional status and active infections require careful management. Specific clinical scenarios, including severe atrophy and significant comorbidities, necessitate a tailored approach and multidisciplinary collaboration. By thoroughly assessing and addressing these contraindications, clinicians can enhance the efficacy of surgical interventions and improve the quality of life for patients with PRS.13,14

Surgical Techniques for the Management of Parry-Romberg Syndrome: An In-Depth Analysis

Parry-Romberg Syndrome (PRS), also known as progressive hemifacial atrophy, is a complex disorder that presents significant challenges in surgical management due to its progressive and asymmetric nature. The primary goals of surgical intervention are to restore facial symmetry, improve function, and enhance the patient's quality of life. This section provides a detailed examination of the various surgical techniques employed in the management of PRS, highlighting their principles, applications, and outcomes.13,14

Autologous Fat Grafting

Autologous fat grafting, also known as lipofilling, is one of the most commonly employed techniques for the management of PRS. This procedure involves the harvesting of adipose tissue from donor sites, such as the abdomen or thighs, which is then processed and injected into the areas of facial atrophy.13,14

Principles and Procedure:

- **Harvesting:** Adipose tissue is harvested using liposuction techniques. The harvested fat is then processed to remove blood, oil, and other impurities.13,14
- **Injection:** The processed fat is carefully injected into the subcutaneous and deeper layers of the face using microcannulas. The goal is to restore volume and improve contour.13,14
- **Advantages:** Autologous fat grafting is minimally invasive, has a low risk of rejection or allergic reaction, and provides a natural appearance.13,14
- **Challenges:** Fat resorption can occur, necessitating multiple sessions to achieve the desired outcome. Maintaining volume and symmetry over time can be difficult.13,14

Dermal Fat Grafts

Dermal fat grafts involve the transplantation of a combination of dermis and subcutaneous fat from donor sites to the affected areas of the face. This technique is often used in conjunction with other procedures to enhance facial contour and symmetry.15,16

Principles and Procedure:

- **Harvesting:** A strip of skin with underlying fat is harvested, typically from the lower abdomen or gluteal region.15,16

- **Preparation:** The epidermis is removed, leaving a graft composed of dermis and fat.
- **Implantation:** The graft is placed into a surgically created pocket in the affected facial area and secured with sutures.15,16
- **Advantages:** Dermal fat grafts provide durable volume and structural support, with less resorption compared to autologous fat grafting alone.
- **Challenges:** Donor site morbidity, potential for graft rejection or infection, and the need for precise shaping and placement.15,16

Microsurgical Free Tissue Transfer

Microsurgical free tissue transfer, also known as free flap surgery, is a highly advanced technique that involves the transplantation of composite tissue flaps with their own blood supply to the affected area.15,16

Principles and Procedure:

- **Donor Flaps:** Common donor sites include the anterolateral thigh (ALT) flap, radial forearm flap, and fibula flap. These flaps provide a combination of skin, fat, and sometimes muscle or bone.15,16
- **Vascular Anastomosis:** The donor flap's blood vessels are anastomosed to recipient vessels in the face using microsurgical techniques to ensure adequate blood supply.
- **Advantages:** Provides robust and durable reconstruction with large volumes of tissue, suitable for extensive atrophy.17,18
- **Challenges:** Technically demanding, longer operative time, potential for flap failure, and significant donor site morbidity.17,18

Bone Grafting and Osteotomies

For patients with significant bony involvement, including atrophy or deformity of the maxilla, mandible, or zygomatic bones, bone grafting and osteotomies may be necessary.

Principles and Procedure:

- **Bone Grafts:** Autologous bone grafts from sites such as the iliac crest or rib can be used to reconstruct bony defects.17,18
- **Osteotomies:** Surgical cutting and repositioning of bones to correct deformities and restore symmetry.17,18
- **Fixation:** Plates, screws, or other fixation devices are used to stabilize the reconstructed bone.17,18
- **Advantages:** Effective for restoring skeletal structure and support, improving both aesthetic and functional outcomes.
- **Challenges:** Higher risk of complications, including infection, non-union, and the need for secondary procedures.17,18

Tissue Expanders

Tissue expansion is a technique used to generate additional soft tissue for reconstruction by gradually stretching the skin and underlying tissues.

Principles and Procedure:

- **Insertion:** A silicone balloon expander is placed beneath the skin in the area adjacent to the defect.19,20
- **Expansion:** Over several weeks to months, the expander is gradually filled with saline, stretching the overlying tissue.19,20
- **Reconstruction:** Once adequate expansion is achieved, the expander is removed, and the newly generated tissue is used to reconstruct the affected area.
- **Advantages:** Generates additional tissue with similar texture and color, minimizing the need for distant donor sites.19,20
- **Challenges:** Prolonged treatment duration, potential for discomfort or complications related to the expander, and risk of infection.19,20

Composite Grafts

Composite grafts involve the transplantation of a combination of different tissue types, such as skin, fat, and cartilage, to address complex defects.

Principles and Procedure:

- **Harvesting:** Composite grafts are harvested from donor sites that provide the necessary tissue components, such as the ear or nasal septum for cartilage.19,20
- **Implantation:** The graft is meticulously placed in the recipient site to restore both contour and function.19,20
- **Advantages:** Versatility in addressing complex defects, with the potential for immediate structural and aesthetic improvement.19,20
- **Challenges:** Risk of graft failure, need for precise surgical technique, and potential for multiple stages.19,20

Alloplastic Materials

In some cases, synthetic materials such as silicone, Medpor, or hydroxyapatite may be used to augment soft tissues or replace bony structures.

Principles and Procedure:

- **Selection:** The choice of alloplastic material depends on the specific requirements of the reconstruction, such as volume augmentation or structural support.
- **Implantation:** The material is shaped and placed in the defect site, secured with sutures or fixation devices as needed.19,20
- **Advantages:** Immediate availability, consistency in shape and volume, and no donor site morbidity.19,20
- **Challenges:** Risk of infection, extrusion, or foreign body reaction, and potential need for future revisions.19,20

The surgical management of Parry-Romberg Syndrome requires a comprehensive understanding of the various reconstructive techniques and their applications. Autologous fat grafting, dermal fat grafts, microsurgical free tissue transfer, bone grafting, tissue expansion, composite grafts, and the use of alloplastic materials each offer unique advantages and challenges. The choice of technique must be tailored to the individual patient's needs, the extent of tissue atrophy, and the desired outcomes. By employing these advanced surgical strategies, clinicians can achieve significant improvements in facial symmetry, function, and quality of life for patients affected by this complex condition.19,20

Multidisciplinary Approach

One of the foremost conclusions is the critical importance of a multidisciplinary approach in the management of PRS. The complexity of the syndrome necessitates collaboration among specialists in plastic surgery, maxillofacial surgery, dermatology, ophthalmology, and psychiatry. Each specialist contributes unique insights and expertise, enabling comprehensive care that addresses both the physical and psychosocial dimensions of the disease. Multidisciplinary teams are essential for accurate diagnosis, optimal surgical planning, and coordinated postoperative care.19,20

Timing of Surgical Intervention

The timing of surgical intervention is paramount in achieving favorable outcomes. It is widely recognized that surgical procedures should be deferred until the active phase of atrophy has stabilized. Premature intervention during the active phase can result in suboptimal outcomes and necessitate additional surgeries. Careful monitoring and assessment of disease progression are crucial to determining the appropriate timing for surgical reconstruction. In pediatric patients, balancing the benefits of early intervention with the risks of recurrent atrophy and the need for future revisions is particularly important.19,20

Tailored Surgical Techniques

The selection of surgical techniques must be tailored to the individual patient's needs, the extent of tissue atrophy, and specific clinical presentations. Autologous fat grafting, dermal fat grafts, microsurgical free tissue transfer, bone grafting, tissue expansion, composite grafts, and alloplastic materials each offer distinct advantages and challenges. Autologous fat grafting, for example, is minimally invasive and provides natural results but may require multiple sessions due to fat resorption. Microsurgical free tissue transfer offers robust and durable reconstruction but is technically demanding and associated with longer operative times.19,20

Patient-Specific Factors

Patient-specific factors, including the severity of atrophy, functional impairments, and psychosocial impact, play a crucial role in determining the appropriate surgical approach. Severe facial asymmetry, functional deficits such as impaired mastication or speech, and significant psychological distress are key indications for surgical intervention. Conversely, contraindications such as active disease phase, uncontrolled systemic conditions, and severe psychiatric disorders must be carefully considered to avoid adverse outcomes.19,20

Innovations and Future Directions

Advancements in surgical techniques and technologies continue to enhance the management of PRS. Innovations such as 3D imaging and printing, improved microsurgical techniques, and the development of new biomaterials hold promise for more effective and precise reconstructions. Ongoing research into the etiopathogenesis of PRS may also provide insights that inform future therapeutic strategies, including potential medical treatments to halt disease progression and complement surgical interventions.19,20

Psychosocial Considerations

The psychosocial impact of PRS cannot be overstated. The disfigurement and functional impairments associated with the syndrome often lead to significant psychological distress, social isolation, and reduced quality of life. Addressing these psychosocial aspects through counseling, support groups, and psychosocial interventions is essential for holistic patient care. Ensuring that patients have access to psychological support and resources can greatly enhance their overall well-being and satisfaction with surgical outcomes.19,20

Long-Term Follow-Up

Long-term follow-up is essential for monitoring the stability of surgical results, addressing any complications or recurrences, and planning additional interventions if necessary. Regular follow-up visits allow for ongoing assessment of facial symmetry, function, and patient satisfaction. They also provide an opportunity to offer continued support and address any new concerns that may arise over time.19,20

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the surgical management of Parry-Romberg Syndrome is a multifaceted and dynamic process that requires a tailored, patient-specific approach. Successful outcomes depend on careful timing, the selection of appropriate surgical techniques, and the integration of multidisciplinary care. Advances in surgical technology and a deeper understanding of PRS will continue to improve the effectiveness of interventions. Ultimately, the goal is to restore facial symmetry and function while enhancing the quality of life for individuals affected by this challenging condition. By addressing both the physical and psychosocial aspects of PRS, clinicians can provide comprehensive care that meets the complex needs of their patients.

REFERENCES

I. Bolgien A. [The history of trophoneurosis with special regard to Moritz Heinrich Romberg (1795-1873) and the hemiatrophia]. Wurzbg Medizinhist Mitt. 2006;25:175-203.

II. .Bucher F, Fricke J, Cursiefen C, Heindl LM. Trigeminal involvement in parry-romberg syndrome: an in vivo confocal microscopy study of the cornea. Cornea. 2015 Apr;34(4):e10-1.

III. Duymaz A, Karabekmez FE, Keskin M, Tosun Z. Parry-Romberg syndrome: facial atrophy and its relationship with other regions of the body. Ann Plast Surg. 2009 Oct;63(4):457-61.

IV. .Bucher F, Fricke J, Neugebauer A, Cursiefen C, Heindl LM. Ophthalmological manifestations of Parry-Romberg syndrome. Surv Ophthalmol. 2016 Nov-Dec;61(6):693-701.

V. Garcia-de la Torre I, Castello-Sendra J, Esgleyes-Ribot T, Martinez-Bonilla G, Guerrerosantos J, Fritzler MJ. Autoantibodies in Parry-Romberg syndrome: a serologic study of 14 patients. J Rheumatol. 1995 Jan;22(1):73-7

VI. Gonul M, Dogan B, Izci Y, Varol G. Parry-Romberg syndrome in association with anti-dsDNA antibodies: a case report. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2005 Nov;19(6):740-2.

VII. Poniecki A, Bernacka K, Hryszko S. [Romberg-type hemiatrophy in a case of severe rheumatoid arthritis]. Wiad Lek. 1971 Jul 01;24(13):1313-5.

VIII. Shah JR, Juhász C, Kupsky WJ, Asano E, Sood S, Fain D, Chugani HT. Rasmussen encephalitis associated with Parry-Romberg syndrome. Neurology. 2003 Aug 12;61(3):395-7.

IX. Kuechler D, Kaliaperumal C, Hassan A, Fanning N, Wyse G, O'Sullivan M. Aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage in Parry-Rhomberg syndrome. BMJ Case Rep. 2011 Nov 15;2011

X. Pichiecchio A, Uggetti C, Grazia Egitto M, Zappoli F. Parry-Romberg syndrome with migraine and intracranial aneurysm. Neurology. 2002 Aug 27;59(4):606-8; discussion 481.

XI. Cory RC, Clayman DA, Faillace WJ, McKee SW, Gama CH. Clinical and radiologic findings in progressive facial hemiatrophy (Parry-Romberg syndrome). AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1997 Apr;18(4):751-7.

XII. El-Kehdy J, Abbas O, Rubeiz N. A review of Parry-Romberg syndrome. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2012 Oct;67(4):769-84.

XIII. Miller MT, Spencer MA. Progressive hemifacial atrophy. A natural history study. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 1995;93:203-15; discussion 215-7

XIV. Stone J. Parry-Romberg syndrome: a global survey of 205 patients using the Internet. Neurology. 2003 Sep 09;61(5):674-6.

XV. Fea AM, Aragno V, Briamonte C, Franzone M, Putignano D, Grignolo FM. Parry Romberg syndrome with a wide range of ocular manifestations: a case report. BMC Ophthalmol. 2015 Sep 05;15:119

XVI. Sahin MT, Bariş S, Karaman A. Parry-Romberg syndrome: a possible association with borreliosis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2004 Mar;18(2):204-7.

XVII. Peterson LS, Nelson AM, Su WP, Mason T, O'Fallon WM, Gabriel SE. The epidemiology of morphea (localized scleroderma) in Olmsted County 1960-1993. J Rheumatol. 1997 Jan;24(1):73-80.

XVIII. Mendonca J, Viana SL, Freitas F, Lima G. Late-onset progressive facial hemiatrophy (Parry-Romberg syndrome). J Postgrad Med. 2005 Apr-Jun;51(2):135-6.

XIX. Vix J, Mathis S, Lacoste M, Guillevin R, Neau JP. Neurological Manifestations in Parry-Romberg Syndrome: 2 Case Reports. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015 Jul;94(28):e1147.

XX. Ahmed S, Tiwari S, Yadav T, Khera PS, Garg P, Sureka B, Budania A, Singh S. Parry Romberg syndrome: Imaging features in 4 consecutive cases and review of literature. J Clin Neurosci. 2020 Jun;76:249-253.